



# Proposed Remedy:

### Alternative 2D:

- Consolidation of contaminated materials into 27 acre landfill and long-term monitoring.
- Redevelopment of capped and uncapped areas.
- Cost: \$63M (does not include added costs of fill for redevelopment or any cost savings of using city inert fly-ash)

### Schedule/Status:

- Draft Proposed Plan to State, HQ and ORC before July 22<sup>nd</sup>.
- Finalize by September 30<sup>th</sup>.

## Stakeholder Support:

- City will make a public statement before Proposed Plan and another after Proposed Plan
  O Prefer total removal, but can work with this alternative and provide stewardship.
- KRCC:
  - o Public statement around time of Proposed Plan that all remedies in FS protective
  - o Currently working with EPA on expanding FAQs and localized outreach
  - .o Working with EPA on expanded outreach during Public Comment Period
    - Bookend the public hearing with availability/discussion sessions

No Onto

Proposed Agendas and Attendees

Meeting with MDEQ and City of Kalamazoo re: Allied Disposal Site

Proposed length of meeting: 1.5 to 2 hours

Technical Meeting Attendees:

#### City of Kalamazoo:

Sue Foune, Public Services Director Mike Wetzel, Environmental Services Superintendent John Paquin, Environmental Programs Manager Bruce Merchant, Consultant Rick Burns, NTH (Consultant)

#### MDEQ:

Robert Wagner, Chief, RRD David Kline, RRD Paul Bucholtz, RRD Brant Fisher or Wayne Kukuk John Riley, Office of Great Lakes

# Proposed Agenda:

- I. Introductions
- II. Summary of Recent USEPA Meetings and Other City Activities
  - a. March 2013 meeting with USEPA in Kalamazoo
  - b. April 2013 Teleconference with USEPA re: proposed remedy
  - c. May 2013 meeting with USEPA in Chicago with EQ
  - d. April July (current) Ongoing community advocacy meetings
  - e. June 2013 USEPA Allied Site Visit
- III. Groundwater/Surface Water Assessments/Impacts/Concerns re: Allied
  - Site characterization data noted deficiencies
  - b. Drinking water supply impacts/concerns/issues
  - Groundwater-Surface water interface, e.g., upper aquifer discharge to Portage Creek
  - d. Monitoring concerns/issues especially lack of recent data, i.e., data is at least 13 years old
- IV. Future Monitoring and Oversight of the Allied Site

- a. For Cap & Contain Remedy
  - i. How would \$ 3 million from Bankruptcy settlement be handled for ongoing maintenance? Separate account? Invested?
  - ii. Request for future MDEQ support for robust groundwater monitoring system including a sentinel well system adjacent to/offsite of the Allied Disposal Site
  - iii. Ongoing groundwater/surface water monitoring
  - iv. General oversight
- b. For Total Removal Remedy
  - i. EQ proposal to USEPA
  - ii. Ongoing groundwater/surface water monitoring
  - iii. General oversight by MDEQ or USEPA?
- V. Funding Opportunities & Issues
- VI. City Strategies in regards to site redevelopment
- VII. General Oversight of Contaminated Sites in Wellhead protection areas
  - a. City role
  - b. State role
  - c. Federal Role
- VIII. Questions/Comments
- IX. Adjourn

- many